

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,807	03/04/2002	Paul A. Christian	08935-255001	1600
26163	7590 05/0		EXAMINER	
	CHARDSON P.C LIN STREET	MERCADO, JULIAN A		
BOSTON, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Whi
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	70 11
	10/086,807	CHRISTIAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julian Mercado	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	;
Period for Reply	·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status	,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-14	<u>1-05</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 and 66-70 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-28 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,29-40 and 66-70</u> is/are rejected		,	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			i i
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-15) 2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received		
 Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen 		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			e
application from the International Burea	-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/1449 or PTO/SB/08		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/04, 2/05	6) Other: _		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 14, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21, 29-40 and 66-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the inclusion of water to initiate the oxidation process. The examiner notes that applicant has further defined the claimed invention in reciting that the starting powders are a dry mixture. See page 4 of applicant's specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1745

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4- 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16, 29, 30, 32- 34, 36- 38 and 66- 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Megahed et al. (U.S. Pat. 3,911,094)

Megahed et al. teaches a primary battery made by preparing nickel oxyhydroxide by combining nickel hydrate, i.e. Ni(OH)₂ or nickel hydroxide, with a hydroxide salt such as potassium hydroxide, *inter alia*. (col. 1 line 65 et seq., col. 2 line 30-62) The dry mixture is then reacted with ozone. (col. 21 line 63 et seq.) No carbon dioxide is present in the atmosphere.

With respect to the claimed capacity loss of the battery, this feature has not been given patentable weight as it fails to further limit or give breadth and scope to the process claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 10, 11, 35, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Megahed et al.

As to an alpha-nickel hydroxide or beta-nickel hydroxide, *inter alia*, it is argued that nickel hydroxide is either of the alpha or beta form, absent of a showing by applicant that the claimed invention distinguishes over the reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,807

Art Unit: 1745

Additionally, for the reasons already of record, it is asserted that the ozonizer will spontaneously decompose ozone to dioxygen and atomic oxygen, absent of a showing by applicant that the claimed invention distinguishes over the reference.

See In re Best, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) and In re Spada, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Megahed et al. in view of Jackovitz et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,481,128).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Megahed et al. in view of Yao et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,759,718)

The teachings of Megahed et al. are discussed above.

Regarding heating prior to or during ozonation, Jackovitz et al., as the heating temperature range is undefined, Jackovitz et al. is relied upon to teach that temperatures from 20°C to 50°C are effective temperatures for ozonation, absent of a showing by applicant that a specific heating temperature range has unexpected results.

With respect to nickel hydroxide oxyhydroxide with cobalt oxyhydroxide, Jackovitz et al. teaches addition of cobalt oxyhydroxide to the ozonated mixture. (col. 4 line 37-53) The skilled artisan would find obvious to include cobalt oxyhydroxide in Megahed et al.'s invention. The motivation for such a modification would be to provide a high performance positive electrode plate (ib.) Additionally, for the reasons set forth in the prior Office action, Yao et al. teaches cobalt oxyhydroxide as an additive. (col. 4 line 45-51) The skilled artisan would find obvious to

>

Application/Control Number: 10/086,807

Art Unit: 1745

include cobalt oxyhydroxide in Megahed et al.'s invention. The motivation for such a modification would be to form an electroconductive network in the positive electrode. (ib.)

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Megahed et al. in view of Köhler (U.S. Pat. 5,800,947).

As to a spherical shape for the particles, while it is reasonably presumed that the particles in Megahed et al., being in powdered form, are substantially spherical, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ spherical particles in order to achieve a high packing density and resulting high capacity per unit volume. (see Köhler et al. at col. 3 line 31 et seq.)

Claims 15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Megahed et al. in view of Kodama et al. (JP 2001-202956).

The teachings of Megahed et al. are discussed above.

As to a hydroxide salt such as gold hydroxide, for the reasons already of record Kodama et al. teaches addition of gold, *inter alia*, as a trivalent metal ion to the cathode (par. [0035])

The addition of gold is preferably in hydroxide form. (par. [0022]) As to an oxidation promoting additive of "gold (+3) hydroxide", Kodama et al. teaches that the oxidation evolution potential, i.e. oxidation reaction potential is optimized by the addition of the gold hydroxide within a specified weight ratio. (par. [0024]) The skilled artisan would find obvious to add gold hydroxide as an oxidation promoting additive for reasons such as attaining high charging efficiency and maintaining a high-rate discharge property. (par. [0006])

Application/Control Number: 10/086,807

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 20, 21, 31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Megahed et al. in view of Ikoma et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,700,596).

The teachings of Megahed et al. are discussed above.

While Megahed et al. does not explicitly teach a bulk dopant such as cobalt, *inter alia*, Ikoma et al. teaches addition of cobalt or cobalt hydroxide to a nickel hydroxide active material. (col. 4 line 4-23) The skilled artisan would find obvious to modify Megahed et al.'s invention by the addition of cobalt for reasons such as improved utilization of the formed battery. (ib.)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed with the present amendment have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/086,807 Page 7

Art Unit: 1745

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A Am

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATE